



## NOVEMBER ISSUE 2019

We are CMEL! Welcome to the thirty-third issue of the CMEL Newsletter! This publication aims to update you on the latest news and information in the biomedical world. We will also share information about upcoming events, so stay tuned! Find out more about [CMEL](#). We also have a Facebook page, you can follow us [here](#).

### Top story in this newsletter

#### Publication: **Journal article on compulsory mental health treatment co-authored by CMEL's Deputy Director**



An article co-authored by CMEL's Deputy Director Ms Daisy Cheung and some other participants of CMEL's 2017 [mental health conference](#) was recently published in the International Journal of Law and Psychiatry. Building on the work of the conference, the article explores the various pathways for law reform in the area of compulsory mental health treatment in Hong Kong. Please click [here](#) to read or download the article for free before 9 January 2020.

本中心副總監張天目女士和 2017 年本中心的[精神健康學術會議](#)的部分與會者以該會議為基礎，合著了一篇文章探索改革香港強制性精神健康治療的法律的各種方向，並於《國際法律和精神病學期刊》發表該文。閣下可在 2020 年 1 月 9 日前[按此](#)免費閱讀或下載該文章。

#### CMEL's Events: **Events on medical and healthcare AI postponed**



Due to unforeseen circumstances, we have had to postpone the WYNG-Hatton Lecture 2019 which was originally scheduled for the evening of Monday 25 November 2019, and also the "Health and Artificial Intelligence: Law, Ethics and Society" Conference originally scheduled for the 26th and 27th November 2019. We will be rescheduling these events, and will announce the dates for them once we have them confirmed. Please click [here](#) for details of the lecture and [here](#) for details of the conference.

由於未預見的特殊情況，本中心須押後原訂於今年 11 月 25 日(星期一)晚間舉行的 WYNG-Hatton 講座及原訂於同月 26 至 27 日舉行的“醫療與人工智能:法律、倫理與社會”學術會議。本中心在確認舉行這兩項活動的日期後，將作出公布。請[按此](#)查看該講座的詳情及[按此](#)查看該會議的詳情。

#### Recent Case: **Father whose ex forged his signature to use frozen embryos lost claim**



In the recent English case of *ARB v IVF Hammersmith v R*, an ex-partner forged the appellant's signature. Proceeding on the basis of that signature, the respondent clinic thawed and implanted into the ex-partner an embryo containing the appellant's gametes. The respondent breached the contract with the appellant in that it failed to obtain the appellant's written consent. In the Court of Appeal, the appellant lost his claim against the respondent for the cost of the upbringing of his healthy child whom the ex-partner gave birth to as a result. The application for permission to appeal to the Supreme Court was refused. Read more [here](#).

近日英國 *ARB v IVF Hammersmith v R* 案中，答辯人診所倚賴上訴人前伴侶偽造的上訴人簽名而將含上訴人配子的胚胎解凍並植入該前伴侶，答辯人未得上訴人書面同意故構成違約。前伴侶終誕下健康孩子，上訴庭裁定答辯人毋須賠償上訴人撫養孩子費用。上訴至最高法院許可申請被拒。[按此](#)閱讀更多。

#### In the News: **Inquiry into Google's patient data deal in the United States**



Google's patient data deal sparked an inquiry in the States. Read more [here](#). 谷歌("Google")的病人數據項目在美國被調查，[按此](#)閱讀更多。